



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/964,337	09/28/2001	Hirokazu Kondo	Q66004	2330		
7590 03/26/2004			EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			CHEN, PO WEI			
2100 Pennsylva	nia Avenue, N.W.					
Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2676	8		
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action	09/964,337	KONDO, HIROKAZ	U		
	navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Po-Wei (Dennis) Chen	2676			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•			
a) [2 b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP		
nave be 37 CFR b) abov	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenede, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d	they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
	NOTE:					
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	, ,				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•				
	Claim(s) allowed:		·			
	Claim(s) objected to:	•				
	Claim(s) rejected:					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.						
9.						
	Other:	Mout	C. Be	ela		
			ATTHEW C. BELLA	INFR		

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-3, filed March 16, 2004, with respect to claims 8 and 9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph rejection of claims 8 and 9 has been withdrawn.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments have been considered but they are not pursuasive. The Applicant aruges reference Spaulding does not disclose displaying a color reproduction image of coordinate points plotted on a second color space. However, this issue has been adressed in the Office Action mailed on December 16, 2003.